1	CITY OF FLORISSANT	
$\frac{2}{2}$	the or Fortunal	
2 3 4 5 6		
5	Planning and Zoning Commis	
	Unofficial Planning & Zoning M	inutes
7 8	April 1, 2019	
9		
10		
11	Table of Contents	Page Number
12		
13	Roll Call/Minutes	Page 2
14	Approval of Minutes	C
15 16	Unique Leving Hands Adult Day Core	Daga 2
16 17	Unique Loving Hands Adult Day Care	Page 3
18	Comprehensive Plan & Preservation Plan Update	Page 4
19		
20	Amendment to the Sign Code	Page 6
21 22		
22		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		

38	
39	
40	CITY OF FLORISSANT
41 42 43 44 45 46	Planning and Zoning Commission Unofficial Planning & Zoning Minutes
47	April 1, 2019
48	
49	The Planning and Zoning Commission met in Council Chambers at Florissant City Hall,
50	955 rue St. Francois on Monday, April 1, 2019 at 7:00 p.m. with Chairman Olds presiding.
51	
52	
53	Roll Call
54	
55	On Roll Call the following members were present: Lee Baranowski, Allen Minks,
56 57	Steve Olds, Tim Lee and David Smith. Robert Nelke and John Martine were excused. Also present was Phil Lum, Building Commissioner and Anita Moore, Deputy City Clerk. A
58	quorum being present the Planning and Zoning Commission was in session for the transaction
59	of business.
60	or business.
61	Approval of Minutes
62	
63	Chairman Lee moved to approve the Minutes of March 18, 2019, seconded by
64	Baranowski. Motion carried.
65	
66	Chairman Olds moved to place Item 2, "Unique Loving Hands Adult Day Care," to the
67	first item on the agenda, seconded by Schmidt. Motion carried.
68	
69	
70	
71	

72

12		
73		
74		
75	<u>New Business</u>	<u>s</u>
76	Item 2	Unique Loving Hands Adult Daycare
77	PZ031819-1	620 N Highway 67
78		<u>Recommended Approval</u> - Ward 6
79		

80 Phil Lum, Building Commissioner, presented the staff report for this request. He noted that the 81 plans submitted indicate the occupant load to be 40. No new signage is proposed and no exterior 82 changes to the site. An ADA compliant drinking fountain is required.

In response to Chairman Old's question regarding the City Council's decision on recent Adult Day Care requests, Mr. Lum stated 58 Grandview Plaza failed at the last Council Meeting and 119 Flower Valley Shopping Center received a first reading and has yet to be decided. Traffic, drop-offs and ADA accessibility were discussed. Mr. Baranowski asked how many adult day care facilities were there in the city and located in shopping centers. Mr. Lum stated that he didn't know, but he guessed approximately half a dozen.

89 Ms. Lashonda Mays appeared before the Commission and stated she would like to build a ramp 90 at the rear of the building as an area for drop-off and pick-up of clients if need be. It would also serve 91 She informed the Commission that there currently exists a medical as a second means of egress. 92 building and physical therapy facility in the same shopping center. At Cross Keyes Shopping Center 93 there is a Dialysis Center where people are dropped off at the front of the facility at all times during the 94 day. People in wheel chairs and people on crutches are dropped off at the front of the facility in the fire 95 lane or park and enter themselves. The petitioner stated that they have applied for a permit to load and 96 unload in the fire lane.

97 Ms. Mays stated that they will service both seniors and mentally disabled clients. She added 98 that in today's world both parents have to work, leaving their elderly parents or mentally disabled 99 family members by themselves during the day. Her business provides an engaging, social alternative.

Many patients are responsible for bringing and taking their own medications. The ones that need help in regards to medication, assistance will be given. The client decides whether or not to leave the medication on site. If left on site, medication will be double locked in a secure place by an RN who will appropriately distribute it as well.

## P & Z Meeting 4/1/2019

## Page 4

Mr. Lee expressed his concern regarding traffic flow and safety. He stated he was unaware of a permit to drop off in a fire lane. Ms. Mays stated that most of the people will not be in wheel chairs and the remaining clients will park in handicapped parking spaces at the front of the lot. Safety is their highest priority. If they feel at any time that there are safety issues at the front of the building, then the drop off will be relocated to the rear.

Ms. Mays stated that they had looked around for a free standing building in which to locate their day care and there are very few in the area. She also said that the number of retail businesses located in shopping centers is declining across the country because people are shopping on line. Many shopping centers are largely empty, even ones that have been recently built. Mr. Olds agreed that there are many vacancies in strip malls throughout the city. The face of shopping centers are changing more and more to medical centers, dance centers, and health care related facilities.

115 Chairman Olds asked the petitioner if it would be acceptable for their main source of egress to 116 be at the rear of the building, to which the petitioner agreed to. The petitioner stated that there was 117 plenty of room. The Mers Goodwill drop off used to be at the rear of the building. Discussion was had 118 regarding the traffic flow at the rear of the building.

119 Chairman Olds moved to recommend approval of a Special Use Permit to allow for an adult day

120 care at 620 N. Highway 67 in a B-3 Extensive Business District with the following stipulations:

- 121 1. An accessible drinking fountain shall be installed.
- 122 2. Primary entrance and exit for clients from the rear.
- 124

123

125 126

127

## **PROJECT COMPLETION.**

Construction shall begin within 60 days of the issuance of building permits and the structure shall be completed in accordance with the plans within 180 days of start of construction.

128 The motion was seconded by Smith. On Roll Call the Commission voted: Baranowski no,

129 Minks yes, Olds yes, Nelke absent, Martine absent, Lee yes, Smith yes. Motion carried.

130

137

## 131Item 1Recommendation to update the City Comprehensive Plan and Preservation132Plan (Old Town Development Plan)

- 133 PZ040119-1 Approved
- 134Landmark Historic District Commission (LHDC) moved to recommend that the135Planning & Zoning Commission recommend to the City Council to update the136City Comprehensive Plan and Preservation Plan (Old Town Development Plan).
- 138 Mr. Lum explained to the Commission that the last time the Comprehensive Plan was updated 139 was November 30, 2004.
- 140 The Landmark Historic District Commission (LHDC) recognized the following:

141 1. The Old Town Development Plan applies only to about 50 properties. 142 The Old Town Development Plan does not address any preservation era beyond the year 2. 143 1790. Historic properties could be evaluated for any property or neighborhood over 40 144 years of age. 145 3. The Comprehensive Plan was last updated prior to the recession of 2008. 146 4. The Comprehensive Plan contains no integrated plan for redevelopment of the Historic 147 District. 148 5. The Comprehensive Plan has no coordination with nationally recognized programs such as 149 "Main Street America" or "Build a Better Block." 150 151 Mr. Lum stated that LHDC members were especially concerned that the historic inventory was 152 never completed. For example, Sacred Heart Parish is an historic site that has never been inventoried. 153 At the time for redesignation of historic sites, Sacred Heart chose not to redesignate. As a result, 154 exterior changes can be made to the building(s) without historic oversite. The LHDC Commission is 155 interested in a more comprehensive overview of Old Town's Historic District. They would like to 156 incorporate the Old Town Development Plan within the Comprehensive Plan.

Mr. Lum stated that updating the Comprehensive Plan is usually an expensive endeavor, costing anywhere from \$20,000 to over \$100,000, depending upon what is included in the scope of the study. The amount needs to be approved by the Council and included in the budget. Mr. Lum stated that many cities update their comprehensive plan approximately every five years.

161 Chairman Olds asked if the Council would understand why Planning & Zoning was sending this 162 request to them. Specifically, does the Council feel that the city needs a Comprehensive Plan and are 163 they willing to spend funds on it? Mr. Lum stated that this request was an attempt to determine how 164 much the Council would be willing to spend on a Comprehensive Plan update. Mr. Smith asked why the members of the LHDC didn't come to this P & Z meeting to explain their request. Would the 165 166 LHDC be required to appear before the Council? Mr. Lum stated that he would be there. He also 167 stated that, according to Mr. Hessel, since Florissant does not have a city planner, the Building 168 Commissioner and the Planning & Zoning Commission are the closest to being one, by default.

169 Chairman Olds moved to recommend that the City Council provide for an update of the170 Comprehensive Plan and Preservation Plan (Old Town Development Plan).

The motion was seconded by Smith. On Roll Call the Commission voted: Baranowski yes,
Minks yes, Olds yes, Nelke absent, Martine absent, Lee yes, Smith yes. Motion carried.

173

174	Old Business				
175					
176	Item 3	Amendments to the Sign Code			
177	PZ061818-2	Postponed to 5/6/2019			
178 179 180		Requests recommend approval to amend the sign code including regulations for digital signs.			
181	Chairman Old	ls stated that Mr. Hessel would like to talk to the Commission regarding the Sign			
182	Code revisions. Mr.	Lee suggested that the City Attorney look at the most recent draft from Mr. Lum			
183	and give his input before the Commission on May 6. Mr. Lum stated that he would give the City				
184	Attorney and the Commission a clean copy of the most recent draft of the revisions. Mr. Baranowski				
185	noted that there was no definition of a "monument sign" included in the draft, to which Mr. Lum stated				
186	that he would make sure that was included. Mr. Lee asked for a copy of the overall sign inventory of				
187	the city, including digital signs. Chairman Olds asked for a copy of the entire Sign Code with				
188	proposed changes included.				
189	Chairman Olds moved to postpone the "Amendment to the Sign Code" until 5/6/19 in order to				
190	hear from the City Attorney, seconded by Lee. Motion carried.				
191	Mr. Baranowsl	ki moved to adjourn the meeting, seconded by Nelke. Motion carried. Meeting			
192	adjourned at 8:49 p.n	1.			
193					
194					
195					
196					
197		Anita Moore, Deputy City Clerk			