1	CITY OF FLORISSANT	
2 3	or Fiorth	
4 5		
5	Planning and Zoning Commission	
6 7	Unofficial Planning & Zoning Minutes	
8	February 4, 2019	
9		
10		
11	Table of ContentsPage Number	
12		
13	Roll Call/Minutes Page 2	
13 14	Approval of Minutes	
15		
16	Adult Day Care Page 3	
17 18	119 Flower Valley Shopping Center	
18 19	Adult Day Care Page 4	
20	58 Grandview Shopping Center	
21		
22	Amendment to the Sign Code Page 5	
23		
24 25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		

39	CITY OF FLORISSANT
40	
40 41	or fiority
42	Planning and Zoning Commission
43 44	Unofficial Planning & Zoning Minutes
45	
46	February 4, 2019
47	
48	The Planning and Zoning Commission met in Council Chambers at Florissant City Hall,
49	955 rue St. Francois on Monday, February 4, 2019 at 7:00 p.m. with Chairman Olds presiding.
50	
51	
52	
53	Roll Call
54	
55	On Roll Call the following members were present: Robert Nelke, Allen Minks, Steve
56	Olds and Tim Lee. John Martine, David Smith and Lee Baranowski were excused. Also
57	present was Anita Moore, Deputy City Clerk and Phil Lum, Building Commissioner. A
58	quorum being present the Planning and Zoning Commission was in session for the transaction
59	of business.
60	
61	Approval of Minutes
62	
63	Chairman Olds moved to approve the Meeting Minutes of January 7, 2019, seconded by
64	Minks. Motion carried.
65	
66	
67	
68	
69	
70	
71	
72	

73	New Busines	<u>s</u>	
74			
75	Item 1	Adult Day Care	
76	PZ020419-1	119 Flower Valley Shopping Center	
77		<u>Recommend Approval</u> - Ward 9	
78 79 80	Request recommended approval for a Special Use Permit to allow for an Adult Day Care in an existing B-3 Zoning District.		
81	Mr. Lum gav	we a brief overview of the history of the site. The shopping center is currently	
82	occupied by several tenants and the Shop & Save is vacant. The application was accompanied by a		
83	site plan and a floor plan showing a gathering/activity room, two office spaces, kitchenette, vestibule		
84	and one toilet. No ex	xterior changes or outside equipment are anticipated to be made or installed. No	
85	new signage is current	ntly being proposed. Mr. Lum stated that if, the total number of occupants per the	
86	building code exceed	ded 15, a second restroom (one for each sex) and a drinking fountain would be	
87	required. The petition	oner would also be required to abide by State regulations.	
88	Mr. Derek Ke	emp, contractor, was unaware of the second bathroom requirement. He stated that	
89	he would install the	other bathroom but the plans that he had submitted this evening would have to be	
90	revised. He would submit the revised plans to Mr. Lum. Mr. Lum informed the petitioner that both		
91	bathrooms would have to be accessible. Mr. Kemp stated that he has already included a drinking		
92	fountain on the curre	nt plans.	
93	Chairman Olo	ds moved to recommend approval for a Special Use Permit to allow for an Adult	
94	Day Care at 119 Flow	wer Valley Shopping Center in an existing B-3 Zoning District with the following	
95	stipulations:		
96	1. That acce	essible men and women's restrooms and drinking fountain to be installed.	
97			
98	PROJECT CO	OMPLETION	
99	Construction	shall start within 60 days of the issuance of building permits and the structure shall	
100	be completed	in accordance with the plans within 180 days of start of construction.	
101			
102	The motion was seco	onded by Minks. On Roll Call the Commission voted: Nelke yes, Martine absent,	
103	Lee yes, Smith absen	t, Baranowski absent, Minks yes and Olds yes. Motion carried.	
104			
105			
106			

107			
108	Item 2	Adult Day Care	
109	PZ020419-2	58 Grandview Plaza Shopping Center	
110		<u>Recommend Approval</u> - Ward 7	
111 112 113	Request recommended approval for a Special Use to allow for an Adult Day Care in an existing B-5 Zoning District.		
114	Mr. Lum gave	e a brief overview of the history of the site, informing the Commission that the site	
115	is within a B-5 Planned Commercial Zoning District. He listed the surrounding properties and their		
116	corresponding zoning	g districts. Mr. Lum stated that no outside equipment or exterior changes to the	
117	site are anticipated. The total number of occupants per the building code exceeds 15 and a second		
118	restroom (one for eac	h sex) and a drinking fountain are required.	
119	Per Section II	I of the Staff Analysis, Mr. Lum stated that Permitted Uses are those in the B-3	
120	District per Ord. No.	5239. Special Uses for this zoning district also includes Adult Day Cares.	
121	Nicole William	ms and Patrice Hall, petitioners, appeared before the Commission. Ms. Williams	
122	stated that she will c	comply with the City's Building Code requirement of a second bathroom and a	
123	drinking fountain. Sh	he stated that the hours of operation would be 6 a.m. until 6 p.m. They will service	
124	disabled adults as we	ll as the elderly. Ms. Williams currently operates an adult day care facility in the	
125	city and would like to open this one in Florissant, with plans to eventually relocate their entire business		
126	to Florissant.		
127	Chairman Old	s moved to recommend approval for a Special Use Permit to allow for an Adult	
128	Day Care at 58 Grand	lview Plaza Shopping Center in an existing B-5 Zoning District with the following	
129	stipulations:		
130	1. That acce	ssible separate men and women's restrooms and drinking fountain to be installed.	
131			
132	PROJECT CC	OMPLETION	
133	Construction s	shall start within 60 days of the issuance of building permits and shall be	
134	completed in a	accordance with the plans within 180 days of start of construction.	
135			
136	The motion was seco	nded by Minks. On Roll Call the Commission voted: Nelke yes, Martine absent,	
137	Lee yes, Smith absent	t, Baranowski absent, Minks yes and Olds yes. Motion carried.	
138			
139			
140			

141	Old Business	
142		
143	Item 1	Amendment to the Sign Code
144	PZ061818-2	Postponed to 2/19/2019
145 146 147		Requests recommend approval to amend the sign code including regulations for digital signs.
148	Chairman Old	Is stated that although they would be discussing the sign code this evening, he will
149	be postponing this ite	m until they receive input from the entire Commission.
150	Mr. Minks st	ated that after reading the information submitted regarding digital signs in other
151	municipalities, ten se	econds seemed to be a common time frame. He was not in favor of relating the
152	digital time frame to	the speed limit. Mr. Minks also stated he would like to discuss the intensity of the
153	sign in relation to the	background light.
154	Mr. Nelke su	aggested using the term "foot candles" in lieu of "NITS" in order to make
155	enforcement and dete	ection easier. He also suggested limiting the height of letters to not less than 5".
156	Mr. Lum stat	ted that enforcement on digital signs can be difficult. He added that the city
157	attorney suggested th	nat violators be sent directly to the circuit court instead of the Florissant Court
158	which has a slower pr	rocess.
159	Chairman Old	Is stated that he would be in favor of limiting the size of a digital sign to 40 square
160	feet. He also stated t	hat if 10 second timing became a requirement, then transitioning and fading would
161	be eliminated. Mem	bers had no problem with their elimination. All members present also agreed that
162	digital signs should o	lim at night, regardless of zoning district, and that no digital signs be allowed in
163	the Historic District.	
164	Mr. Mink st	ated that he would like to discuss extending the 10 second change when
165	approaching an inter-	section. Mr. Lee stated that he would not be in favor of the time extension. He
166	asked what would be	considered an intersection: a stop sign or signalization.
167	Discussion w	as had regarding signs that hang <i>inside</i> the windows of various businesses and
168	whether they should	be regulated.
169	Chairman Old	ls enumerated the highlights of discussion:
170	• 10 sec	ond transition up to a 1 second black screen in between
171	• Dimm	ing at night
172	• Using	the term "foot candles" in lieu of "NITS"
173	• No ch	ange for speed limits

174	No digital signs in Historic District		
175	• Limiting the height of letters to not less than 5 inches		
176	• Change timing when approaching intersection – continue discussion		
177	• Limiting the size of digital signs to 40 square feet – continue discussion		
178	• Factory settings must conform to Sign Code		
179			
180	Chairman Olds asked Mr. Lum to present a working draft including the proposed changes, at the		
181	next meeting for continued discussion.		
182	Chairman Olds moved to postpone the request for "Amendments to the Sign Code" until 2/19/2019,		
183	seconded by Nelke. Motion carried.		
184	Mr. Lee moved to adjourn the meeting, seconded by Nelke. Motion carried. Meeting adjourned at		
185	9:46 p.m.		
186			
187			
188			
189			
190	Anita Moore, Deputy City Clerk		