

20 Commission members introduced themselves along with staff members, Dianne Lehmann,

21 recording clerk, Phil Lum, Building Commissioner Kent Miller- Chairman, Steve Frank -

22 member, Christine Keil- member, Dan Call member, Steve Siebe –member,

# 23

24 <u>ROLL CALL</u> 25

Kent Miller, Steve Frank, Steve Siebe, Dan Call and Christine Keil were in attendance. There
being a quorum the meeting was called to order.

# 29 <u>APPROVAL OF MINUTES</u>

30

Kent Miller asked if there were any minutes to approve. Gary Meyer made a motion to approvethe minutes as submitted, seconded by Dan Call. All approved minutes as submitted.

# 33

34 <u>OLD BUSINESS</u>35

36 At this time Kent Miller suggested that we move forward with new business. He suggested that

37 Item 1 can be postponed until other new business is heard. A motion made by Gary Meyer was

38 seconded by Steve Siebe and approved. Chris Keil asked if we could wait to hear Item 2 as the

39 petitioner was Steve Siebe one of our commissioners. Chris Keil made a motion and was

- 40 seconded by Gary Meyer and all approved.
- 41

#### 42 **NEW BUSINESS**

43

## 44 **ITEM 1**

# 45 Request for a C.O.A. to replace the roof at 111 Rue St. Catherine, better known as the 46 Mottin House.

47

48 Sarah and Dan Crank are in the process of preparing their deceased parent's home to be listed for 49 sale. During the cleanup they discovered that there was a sense of urgency because they have a 50 problem with squirrel infestation. Sarah explained that they would like to change the current 51 roofing material from cedar shakes to an architectural style of shingles. Kent Miller asked why 52 they came before LHDC to begin with. Phil Lum said that they must apply for and receive a 53 COA because they are a designated Local Landmark property. Chris Keil asked what roof was 54 original to the house and Sarah answered that she was not sure. Steve Frank said he remembers 55 the house in the 50's with architectural asphalt shingles. Phil Lum said that he understood their 56 reluctance to use cedar shake shingles because of the squirrel problem. There is no clear cut 57 evidence of what was original on the roof other than those mentioned on the historic inventory. 58 Phil Lum said he could not see any reason why the use of architectural asphalt shingles would be 59 objectionable as it did not jeopardize the integrity of the house. Kent Miller said if no one had any 60 objections to the use of architectural asphalt architectural styled shingle replacement on the house 61 at 111 Rue St. Catherine he would entertain a motion for a COA.

62

Dan Call made a motion to approve a COA for the use of asphalt architectural styled shingles on
 the roof at 111 Rue St. Catherine, better known as the Mottin House. Seconded by Gary Meyer
 and all approved.

#### 66 67 **ITEM 2**

#### 68 Request for a C.O.A. for the installation of a Cell Phone tower in the bell tower of the 69 property located at 1 Rue St. Francois, better known as Old St. Ferdinand Shrine.

70

71 Dianne Lehmann reminded everyone that this is a continuance of the original request for a COA 72 from the Shine last year. We had asked that once SHPO approved the plans from Team Mobile 73 that we be contacted with that approval and we would then go forward. Dianne said she had the 74 original plans and the changes to the site plan. Gary Meyer asked what was different since the 75 last time we approved it. Phil Lum answered that the primary difference was that an eight foot 76 white vinyl fence was erected to hide the exterior equipment. Phil Lum said that a suggestion was 77 made that a more appropriate material be used for the screening of the exterior equipment that 78 would be more period to the Shrine. Steve Gettemeier represented the Old St. Ferdinand Shine 79 and asked what material would be more appropriate. Steve asked about the possibility of painting 80 the white vinyl fence with the Florissant red. Dan Call and Steve Siebe said they would be 81 opposed to the painting of any vinyl fence because the paint would just peel and look worse. 82 Dan Call asked if the fence was already installed and Steve Gettemeier answered yes but it was 83 done without the knowledge or permit of the City or the approval of LHDC. Phil explained that 84 the reason the equipment is on the ground is because SHPO did not approve the drilling into the 85 walls of the bell tower to house the equipment so it had to be placed on the exterior of the bell 86 tower. Steve Siebe asked if we ever received anything from SHPO identifying their requirements. 87 Dianne Lehmann said no; but we did approve the application for a COA with the stipulation that

it would only be approved with SHPO's approval. We did not issue the COA because we did notget the approval from SHPO.

89 g 90

91 The current COA would be for the installation of a cell phone tower and the operating equipment

- 92 screening. Gary Meyer asked Steve Gettemeier if they were opposed to replacing the screening.
- 93 Phil Lum suggested that the Shrine replace the screening with 8 foot wood fencing. Steve
- 94 Gettemeier asked if he could hang cedar boards off of the vinyl fence as long as the vinyl didn't
- show would that be acceptable. If the Shine covered the existing vinyl screening they would move
- 96 forward with a 10' structure. Steve Gettemeier said he will submit plans to City Hall.
- 97

A motion was made to approve a COA for The Old St. Ferdinand Shine located at 1 Rue St.

- 99 Francois to approve the installation of a cell phone tower antenna and the exterior operating
- 100 equipment and screening at least 10'tall. Cover to be made of Cedar wood with no paint color.
- 101 A motion was made by Gary Meyer to approve this COA seconded by Steve Siebe. Chris Keil
- 102 obtained, her husband is on the Shrine board. All other approved.
- 103

104 At this time discussion was made about the accessible ramp that was built on the Shrine without a

105 permit or COA. Phil explained that the accessible ramp did not meet ADA compliance nor was it

approved by plan review for a permit. Phil did say there where some exemptions for historic

107 building and he did include information about that exemption. One concern was the doorway for

108 the accessible entrance. It must be attended by someone at all time because the door does not

remain open to provide accessible entrance. The side walls of the ramp are similar in design to the picket fence in the front yard. The pickets do not have spacing between them and are very tight as

- 110 picket fence in 111 to form a wall.
- 111

113 If the Shrine decides to keep the ramp as built the Shrine must accept the liability of non-

114 compliance. The City has requested a letter of such. Dan Call asked if there was a distinct life

safety issue with the ramp as built. Phil Lum said yes there is and yes there was before.

116

117 Kent said in order to issue a COA the City would require something from the Shrine stating that 118 they will accept the liability for the non-compliant accessible ramp. Kent asked if everyone had

an opportunity to review the plans and the COA could be approved with stipulations that the

120 Shrine provide documentation that they will accept responsibility and absolve the City of any

121 liability by not signing off on the safety or ADA compliance of the ramp. Gary Meyer made a

122 motion to grant and seconded by Christine Keil. One opposed and one abstention motion carries.

123

# 124 **ITEM 3**

## 125 Request for a C.O.A. to replace the sign located at 411 Rue St. Francois, Smoke & Sip.

126 The sign code states that a new sign erected in the historic district must be approved by LHDC.

127 The sign must be appropriate to an era and similar in like to the building. A review of the

128 submitted sign by commission members prompted Christine Keil to move that a COA be granted

to Smoke & Sip 411 Rue St. Francois for the face change of an existing sign. Phil said that a

130 COA was not really required but an approval was required. Chris amended her motion to say

131 approve the face change. Seconded by Dan Call. All approved motion carries.

- 132
- 133
- 134

- 135 **ITEM 4**
- 136 Request for a C.O.A. to build a 10 x 12 Pergola in the back yard of 990 Rue St. Francois,
- 137 **better known as the Peters House.**
- 138 Steve Siebe described the Pergola as a cedar wood pergola painted white. It is 10 x 12 in size
- and will be located in the back yard. Phil said the commission should ask themselves if this
- 140 structure is one that may have been built the same period as the house. If not there should not be
- 141 issues with this addition to the historic property. Kent asked if anyone had any issues.
- 142
- 143 Patty Sosa made a motion to approve a COA to build a 10 x 12 cedar pergola painted white in the
- 144 back yard of 990 Rue St. Francois. Seconded by Steve Frank one abstention was Steve Siebe.
- 145 All approved.
- 146 147 **ITEM 5**

## 148 **Request to discuss the Build a Better Block**

- 149 A resident attending the Better Block seminar saw it on our Agenda and wanted to hear what the
- 150 Commission thought of the program. They have been looking to work with other groups
- 151 throughout the City like Old Town Partners, Empower North County and others. She felt the
- 152 program was very inspirational. She talked about rebuilding communities and how that could be
- 153 achieved. She also talked about how important it was to start small and move forward.
- 154
- 155 Discussion about "Build a Better Block" and some discussion about "Main Street USA" was had.
- 156 All commission members agreed that additional discussion was needed about how to move
- 157 forward. Kent Miller suggested that we encourage our council to move forward with a 5 year
- 158 Comprehensive Plan, Build a Better Block and Main Street. This should be on our agenda for
- 159 our next meeting.
- 160

## 161 Miscellaneous Business

- 162 Steve Frank and Patty Sosa asked about the Lafayette Street Project and the progress of the
- 163 project. Steve Frank expressed concerns that some people were getting preferential treatment
- about parking but Phil Lum assured the Commission they had to give something to get
- 165 something. No one was getting preferential treatment.
- 166

# 167 Announcements / Comments

- 168
- 169 Kent Miller asked if there was no further business he would entertain a motion to adjourn. A
- motion was made to adjourn by Gary Meyer seconded by Dan Call motion carried meetingadjourned.
- 172
- 173 Meeting was adjourned at 8:30 p.m.
- 175 Respectfully submitted:
- 176 Dianne Lehmann Recording Clerk