| Employee Evaluation (for a supervisory position) | CITY OF FLORISSANT HUMAN RESOURCES DEPARTMEN |
|--|--|
|--|--|

| 20.00 | | 20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------|
| SECTION 3: TOTAL | ď | Best Possible Score | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 15. Business Knowledge (knowledge of City rules, regulations and Ordinances) | 15. Business Know | | | | | 4.00 |
| fectively and efficiently) | 14. Policies/Procedures (follow proper policies and procedures to ensure work is done effectively and efficiently) | Policies/Proced | | | | | 4.00 |
| | 13. Decision Making (coming to proper conclusion based on information) | 13. Decision Makin | | | | | 4.00 |
| | Use of Resources (knowledge or ability to locate answers through investigation) | 12. Use of Resource | | | | | 4.00 |
| orocesses) | 11. Knowledge of work responsibilities (ability to perform duties of job per knowledge of processes | Knowledge of v | | | | | 4.00 |
| R. Amount of skill antity requirements | Skills & Knowledge to perform job. Includes understanding of duties & related work. Amount of skill possessed to carry out assignments and meet quality and quantity requirements | Skills & Knowledg | | | 1 | 3 3 | |
| | Section III - IOH SKII IS AND KNOW! FIGH | | (0) | | (2) | (3) | 14) |
| 16.00 | | 16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.00 |
| SECTION 2: TOTAL | re l | Best Possible Score | | - | | | |
| | 10. Public Relations (effectiveness in meeting the public; good representative of the City) | 10. Public Relation | | | | | 4.00 |
| promptly to customers/residents) | Customer Service (displays courtesy and sensitivity; meets commitments; responds promptly to customers/residents) | Customer Servi | | | | | 4.00 |
| | Communications (presentation of information in written/oral form) | 8. Communication | | / | | | 4.00 |
| | Use of Resources (use/maintenance materials/physical equipment/vehicles/tools) | Use of Resource | | | | | 4.00 |
| ss, and efficient use of resources. | Extent to which job is performed, meets quality requirements; thoroughness, and efficient use of resources | | | | | | |
| | Section II - QUALITY OF WORK | | (0) | (3) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 24.00 | | 24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 24.00 |
| SECTION 1: TOTAL | re | Best Possible Score | | | | | |
| | Initiative (resourceful ability to see a need and apply oneself) | 6. Initiative (resour | | | | | 4.00 |
| | Multitask (ability to maintain productivity in all situations) | Multitask (ability | | | | | 4.00 |
| | Teamwork (ability to contribute as a part of a unit) | Teamwork (ability) | | | | | 4.00 |
| | 3. Organizational (operational ability to coordinate) | Organizational (| | | | | 4.00 |
| | Planning (ability to plan ahead, set priorities, meet deadlines) | Planning (ability | | | | | 4.00 |
| | Use of Time (efficient or unproductive) | Use of Time (eff | | | | | 4.00 |
| QUANTITY OF WORK onsistency of output; time spent on the Job after reporting. | Section I - QUANTITY OF WORK Volume of work regularly produced; speed & consistency of output; time | Volume | · (0) | | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| (0) UNACCEPTABLE | ISFACTORY (1) NEEDS IMPROVEMENT | | AVERA | (3) ABOVE AVERAGE | | (4) EXCEPTIONAL | (4) EXC |
| | 06/01/2024 | STEP DATE (DOH): | | | | ADE | PAY GRADE |
| | | Parks Superintendent | | | | Kevin Green | Kevin |
| | POSITION/CLASSIFICATION: OVERALL RATING: | POSITION/C | | : | NAME: | EMPLOYEE NAME | EMPL |
| | | | | | | | |

| 4) | (3) | (2) | ************************************** | (0) | Amoun | Section IV - RESPONSIBILITY Amount of supervision required to carry out instructions, meet schedules and get work production. |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| 4.00 | | | | | 16. Attitude/Work Be | Attitude/Work Behavior (the demeanor used in dealing with customers, residents, co-workers, supervisors) |
| 4.00 | | | | | ıı | |
| 4.00 | | | | | | Safety (compliance with safety rules) |
| · 100 | 3.00 | | | | 19. Appearance (grooming/dress) | ming/dress) |
| | 3.00 | | | | | Dependability (absenteeism/tardiness)/Reliability (getting job completed) |
| | | | | | Best Possible Score | SECTION 4: TOTAL |
| 12.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18 | 18.00 |
| | | 6 78% - 44 6 800 8 pm - 44 0 66 8 pm - 7 60 0 70 | | | | |
| 4) | ි (ධ) | 2 | (1) | (0) | | SECTION V. LEADERSHIP SKILLS/ABILITIES |
| | | | | | C | (Applicable to those that supervise/evaluate other employees). Competence to lead others into the proper direction to handle situations/opportunities. |
| 4.00 | | | | | 21. Motivation (ability | Motivation (ability to get subordinates to do their job) |
| 4.00 | | | | | Counseling/Appr | Counseling/Appraising (evaluate/discuss subordinate's performance) |
| 4.00 | | | | | Implementing Qu | Implementing Quality Improvement Action (proactive resolution of potential problems) |
| | 3.00 | | | | 1 | Influencing Others (Leading others in a positive and constructive manor) |
| | 3.00 | | | | | Resolving Conflict (capacity to get others to cooperate; administer levels of discipline if/when necessary) |
| | 3.00 | | | | 26. Making Presenta | Making Presentations (ability to present, to others, proposals and suggestions based on facts) |
| 4.00 | | | | | - 1 | |
| 4.00 | | | | | 28. Development SK | Development Skills (ability to develop others to reach their full potential) |
| 200 | 3 | 200 | 3 | 1 | Best Possible Score | SECTION STRUCTURE |
| 20.00 | 9.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 32 | |
| | | | | ない。 | A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH | Section VI - COMMENDATIONS, AWARDS AND DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS During this evaluation period, the employee received the following: |
| | Commendations | dations | | | Explanation: | |
| | Awards | | | | | |
| | Reprimar | Reprimands (Verbal/Written) | al/Written) | | | |
| | Suspensions Other | ons | | | | |
| | | | | 権の政権と | COMMENT SECTION | |
| | | 2 - 1 2 - 1 | | 07-metal 22-metal 22- | | |
| Areas of stre "Exceptiona where employments performance | ingth: Just " or "Above byee has de and/or spe | Areas of strength: Justify all factors rated Areas of strength" or "Above Average"; record areas where employee has demonstrated superior job performance and/or special initiative in improving | s rated ecord areas superior job in improving | | Kevin is a great employee. Kevin has stepped up and i | Kevin is a great employee. He is always able to handle multiple tasks in all areas or his job. The past year has been a hard year. I have been on several months and Kevin has stepped up and taken over many task and done them well. |
| performance (Attach extra if applicable) | sheets for | performance. (Attach extra sheets for additional information, if applicable). | formation, | | | |
| Area for Imp "Unacceptat record areas | rovement:)le" or "Nee) in which e | Area for Improvement: Justify all factors rated "Unacceptable" or "Needs Improvement"; "record areas in which employee's job | ctors rated nent"; | | | |
| schedule for re-evaluation | re-evaluati | on. | : | | | |
| (Attach extra | ર sheets for | (Attach extra sheets for additional information if applicable). | formation, | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| LEVEL OF PERFORMANCE | のでは、 は、 は、 はないです。 ないのできない。 ないないできない。 |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| (4) = (3) (2) (4) (0) | |
| Total Score divided by Total Factors equals Average Score equals Overall Rating | |
| 92.00 15.00 0.00 0.00 107.00 28 3.82 3.82 | |
| Employee's Comments: | |
| | |
| | |
| Employee Goals: | |
| | |
| Employee's Signature: # S-7-24 Date | |
| ☐ Recommend Merit Raise ☐ Do Not Recommend Merit Raise | |
| Supervisor's Signature: Clark & Thorne Stary | |
| Possessing Morit Baiso | |
| Cluy A Fr | |
| Date | 1 |
| ☐ Approved ☐ Not Approved | |
| Mayor's Signature: | |
| Revised: 06/18/2015 | |